

# ANNEX I

## ***Mid-term Review ToR***

### **Objective of the Review**

The purpose of the mid-term review is to help SC UK to assess whether the DFIS project was implemented as planned in the original proposal document and in the manner most likely to meet the stated objectives. The review should include assessment of project activities, use of output and its impact on decision making, and project capacity, including the technical capacity in North Darfur, south Darfur and Khartoum. The review process should be participatory including technical staff and management teams at different levels.

The review output will be used by both the project management and technical teams, as guide to enhance the project planning process and reinforce the technical development.

### ***Issues to be reviewed:***

The adviser in participation with the project technical and management teams review the relevance of project activities and its performance, from January 2003 to October 2003. The reference documents will be the proposal and activities plan and output.

Reviewing whether and how efficiently the planned activities were implemented and with output. This should include examining organisation, management, and monitoring of the project activities.

Reviewing whether the objectives of the project are being met. The following elements should be considered to assist in this review process:

Rapid review of the project outputs, with particular reference to the food security monitoring systems, which uses both food economy baseline, regular monitoring/surveys

Assess clarity and impact of the project's output on decision-making processes at State and Federal levels

Assess impact of the attempts made by the project to:

To raise community awareness on targeting and food security issues

To develop the capacity of the project partners, specified in the project, in North and West Darfur, to enhance their food security monitoring, planning and response mechanisms

To develop the capacity of OXFAM and WFP to enhance their food security monitoring and needs assessments

Assess the attempts made by the project to pick up co-ordination at national level and/or use of the existing co-ordination forums, for lobbying and advocacy, in order to enhance planning and response mechanisms, especially to improve the relief programming for the drought prone areas of Sudan. This should include building of networks and building of an understanding of national food security issues.

Advise on whether and how the project activities could be developed in the remaining period of the project, considering the staff capacity and the changing context.

### ***Reporting:***

A report is required to be prepared by the advisor containing the review and recommendations.

### ***Timing:***

Two weeks in January