



# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Education in emergencies, chronic crises and early reconstruction contexts	1
1.2	Synopsis of WFP's support to education	2
1.2.1	Types of programme	3
1.2.2	Objectives of school feeding programmes	3
1.3	Purpose, objective and overall scope of the evaluation	4
1.4	Methodology and evaluation process	6
1.4.1	Field Visits	6
1.4.2	E-mail survey of WFP country office staff	7
1.4.3	Analytical Workshop and Debriefing	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Findings</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Relevance of objectives potentially addressed by school feeding in emergencies	9
2.1.1	Relevance of supporting education in emergency contexts	9
2.1.2	The relevance of nutritional objectives in school feeding programmes.	10
2.1.3	Lack of coherence between formal objectives, priority needs and implementation realities	11
2.1.4	Revising corporate SF objectives	12
2.1.5	Conclusions	13
2.2	Appropriateness and potential benefits of school feeding in emergencies	14
2.2.1	Appropriateness of school feeding to address identified priority issues in emergencies	15
2.2.2	Comparative advantages of different SF delivery modalities in emergencies	23
2.3	Implementation mechanisms for specific emergency contexts	32
2.3.1	Minimum conditions and complementary activities in ESF programme design	32
2.3.2	Partnerships for ESF projects	38
2.4	Particular challenges to consider for the design of school feeding interventions under emergency conditions	44
2.4.1	Key implementation tasks affected by constraints of emergency situations	44
2.4.2	Internal and external constraints to ESF implementation	51
2.4.3	Operational implications of preceding and overlapping "development" school feeding projects	56
2.5	Sustainability issues to be addressed in the implementation of ESF	60
2.5.1	Government participation and capacity building	60
2.5.2	Community participation and capacity building	61
2.5.3	Education sector development (including advocacy)	62
2.5.4	SF in the progression from emergency to rehabilitation and development	62
2.5.5	Conclusions	63
<b>3</b>	<b>Overall Conclusions</b>	<b>64</b>
3.1	Customized design of ESF projects is central to increased effectiveness	64
3.2	Tension between "WFP targeting" and "provision of complementary inputs"	66
3.3	Reduced effectiveness of SF programmes caused only in part by factors specific to emergencies	66
3.4	The categorical distinction between SF and "E"SF is problematic.	67
3.5	Particular implementation associated with specific and individual context features	67



<b>4 Recommendations</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>4.1 Area for action 1: Establishing a process for context-specific SF programme design</b>	<b>68</b>
4.1.1 Recommendation 1: WFP should introduce the requirement of a country-specific implementation plan to support ESF programming.	68
4.1.2 Recommendation 2: WFP programme designers should develop objectives for ESF programmes that respond to the results of local assessments which include educational needs.	68
4.1.3 Recommendation 3: The targeting process for support through ESF should ensure that WFP can reach schools in which serve the most food-insecure and educationally vulnerable children.	69
4.1.4 Recommendation 4: Modalities and minimum standards should be chosen in relation to the objectives and the context, with attention to the risk of excluding the most vulnerable.	70
<b>4.2 Area for action 2: Taking advantage of opportunities for strategic partnerships</b>	<b>70</b>
4.2.1 Recommendation 5: ESF projects can be more effective if accompanied by complementary activities: WFP should have a strategy to ensure these are provided, considering in particular the potential of strategic partnerships	70
<b>4.3 Area for action 3: Improving quality in implementation.</b>	<b>71</b>
4.3.1 Recommendation 7: WFP should explore ways to improve SF M&E systems and to improve feedback from the field as a prerequisite for project adjustments and improvements.	72
4.3.2 Recommendation 8: That WFP design and disseminate training tools for ESF and should strategically place education experts to improve the use of technical guidance in the design and implementation of ESF activities in the field.	72
<b>Annex 1: Terms of Reference</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Annex 2: Nutritional Value of ESF Food Baskets and nutritional requirements met</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Annex 3: Nutrition situation of school age children and its link to education</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Annex 4 – Mission Itineraries for Pakistan, Sudan and DRC</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Annex 5 – List of People met</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Annex 6 – References</b>	<b>103</b>
<i>Table 1: Number of countries and beneficiaries reached by ESF programmes 2002-2005</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Table 2: Summary of evidence and influencing factors for effectiveness of (E)SF on education</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Table 3: Comparative advantages of different modalities for school feeding</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Table 4: Example of pre-conditions, operational requirements and complementary activities for ESF with “prepared meals”</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>Figure 1: The context of emergency school feeding programme</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Figure 2: External (context) and WFP-internal constraints that affect key tasks in the implementation of ESF projects</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Figure 3: Cause and effect model implementation challenges in ESF projects</i>	<i>65</i>
<i>Box 1: School Feeding vs Nutritious Intervention - the limitations of school feeding</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Box 2: Types of WFP partners in ESF programmes</i>	<i>43</i>