

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| FOREWORD AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS..... | 5 |
| GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ACRONYMS..... | 7 |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY..... | 12 |
| SUMMARY OVERVIEW OF FINNISH HA..... | 12 |
| SUMMARY STRENGTHS OF FINNISH FUNDED HA..... | 13 |
| SUMMARY WEAKNESSES OF FINNISH FUNDED HA..... | 14 |
| FUTURE TRENDS AND CHALLENGES..... | 18 |
| SUMMARY RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE..... | 20 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION..... | 28 |
| 1.1. THIS EVALUATION..... | 28 |
| 1.2. EVALUATION METHODS..... | 28 |
| 2. MFA FUNDED HA: QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS..... | 29 |
| 2.1. FUNDING DISTRIBUTION..... | 29 |
| 2.2. CONCLUSIONS FROM FUNDING QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS..... | 39 |
| 2.3. HA AND FINNISH PUBLIC OPINION..... | 40 |
| 3. EFFECTIVENESS..... | 42 |
| 3.1. DEFINITIONS..... | 42 |
| 3.2. THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE INTERNATIONAL HA 'SYSTEM'..... | 43 |
| 3.3. SUCCESSES..... | 43 |
| 3.4. OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS..... | 48 |
| 3.5. FAILURES..... | 49 |
| 3.6. EFFECTIVENESS OF FINLAND AS A DONOR..... | 60 |
| 3.7. ROOM FOR MFA IMPROVEMENT..... | 63 |
| 4. EFFICIENCY..... | 65 |
| 4.1. DEFINITIONS..... | 65 |
| 4.2. MFA ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS..... | 65 |
| 4.3. THE FUNDING PROCESS..... | 65 |
| 4.4. PARTNER SYSTEMS AND REPORTING..... | 66 |
| 4.5. EXAMPLES OF SYSTEM-WIDE EFFICIENCIES..... | 66 |
| 4.6. EXAMPLES OF INEFFICIENCY..... | 69 |
| 5. THE LONGER-TERM; IMPACT & SUSTAINABILITY..... | 73 |
| 5.1. DEFINITIONS..... | 73 |
| 5.2. LINKING RELIEF REHABILITATION AND DEVELOPMENT (LRRD)..... | 73 |
| 5.3. SMALL, ISOLATED HA PROJECTS ARE RARELY SUSTAINABLE..... | 74 |
| 5.4. NEGATIVE IMPACTS OF HA..... | 75 |
| 5.5. THE DILEMMA: SHORT-TERM NEED OR LONGER-TERM IMPACT..... | 75 |
| 5.6. NEED FOR SEPARATE CRITERIA: EMERGENCY AND TRANSITION..... | 76 |
| 5.7. CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES..... | 77 |
| 6. RELEVANCE: 'NEED' AND REGIONS..... | 79 |
| 6.1. POVERTY: A PRIORITY..... | 79 |
| 6.2. HA NOT ALWAYS ACCORDING TO NEEDS..... | 80 |
| 6.3. THE 1996 EVALUATION POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 83 |
| 6.4. INTEGRATED CRISIS MANAGEMENT: UNFEASIBLE AND UNDESIRABLE..... | 84 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 7. | RELEVANCE: HA FUNDING CHANNELS..... | 86 |
| 7.1. | NGO PARTNERS..... | 86 |
| 7.2. | UN ORGANISATIONS..... | 88 |
| 7.3. | THE RED CROSS AND RED CRESCENT MOVEMENT..... | 89 |
| 7.4. | UTILISATION OF FINNISH EXPERTISE..... | 90 |
| 7.5. | AID AND PROSELYTISM..... | 91 |
| 7.6. | CONCLUSION: A PRAGMATIC CHOICE OF CHANNELS..... | 93 |
| 8. | GLOBAL HUMANITARIAN ISSUES..... | 94 |
| 8.1. | DECREASE IN REFUGEES AND INCREASE IN IDPS..... | 94 |
| 8.2. | PROLIFERATION OF HA ACTORS..... | 94 |
| 8.3. | LRRD GAP ONLY SLIGHTLY NARROWER..... | 94 |
| 8.4. | INCREASED AID AND CRISES..... | 95 |
| 8.5. | ‘GREY-ZONE’ SCENARIOS BECOMING THE NORM..... | 95 |
| 8.6. | ORGANISATIONS INCREASINGLY TECHNICALLY PROFICIENT..... | 96 |
| 8.7. | HA INCREASINGLY LINKED TO DONOR MILITARY AND POLITICAL AGENDAS..... | 97 |
| 8.8. | DOMINANCE AND ‘CORPORATISATION’ OF WESTERN INGOs..... | 98 |
| 8.9. | ‘COMPLEX EMERGENCY’, ‘NATURAL DISASTER’ RESPONSE ACTORS MERGING..... | 98 |
| 8.10. | SIDE-LINING OF MULTI-LATERAL CHANNELS..... | 98 |
| 8.11. | PLETHORA OF ‘ACCOUNTABILITY’ AND RESEARCH INITIATIVES..... | 99 |
| 8.12. | OPPOSITION TO SPHERE AND ‘ONE-SIZE-FITS-ALL’ APPROACHES..... | 99 |
| 8.13. | HUMANITARIAN ADVOCACY..... | 100 |
| 8.14. | MANDATE CREEP AMONG INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES..... | 100 |
| 8.15. | ‘HUMANITARIAN WAR’..... | 101 |
| 8.16. | THE MAIN CHALLENGE: TO BE AND BE PERCEIVED TO BE INDEPENDENT..... | 101 |
| 8.17. | CONCLUSION ON INDEPENDENCE..... | 108 |
| 8.18. | FINLAND’S ROLE IN THESE DEVELOPMENTS..... | 108 |
| | ANNEX 1 – 1997 HA POLICY PAPER OUTLINE..... | 109 |
| | ANNEX 2 – INTERVIEWEES..... | 110 |
| | ANNEX 3 – BIBLIOGRAPHY..... | 114 |
| | ANNEX 4 – EVALUATION TERMS OF REFERENCE..... | 124 |
| | ANNEX 5 – FINNISH SUMMARY..... | 130 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: MFA HA Funding 1996 – 2004 | 30 |
| Figure 2: MFA HA Funding by Region 2002 – 2004..... | 31 |
| Figure 3: MFA HA Funding by Country in 2004 | 31 |
| Figure 4: MFA HA Funding by Country in 2003 | 32 |
| Figure 5: MFA HA Funding by Country in 2002 | 32 |
| Figure 6: MFA HA Funding by Country in 2001 | 33 |
| Figure 7: MFA HA Funding by Country in 2000 | 33 |
| Figure 8: MFA HA Funding by Channel 1997 – 2004..... | 34 |
| Figure 9: MFA HA Funding by Multilateral Channel 1997 – 2004 | 35 |
| Figure 10: MFA HA Funding per NGO 1997 – 2004..... | 37 |
| Figure 11: MFA HA Funding by Type 2002 – 2004 | 38 |
| Figure 12: MFA Asian Tsunami Funding by Channel in 2005 | 39 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 1: MFA HA Funding by Multilateral Channel 1997 – 2004..... | 36 |
| Table 2: Contributions to ICRC in 2003..... | 107 |