

World Vision Management Response to the DEC Gaza Evaluation
08/04/2016

| Recommendation/Comment | Member Agency Management Response | Timeline |
|---|---|--|
| <p>1 World Vision Jerusalem-West Bank-Gaza (WVJWG) to further invest in developing its monitoring/reporting systems to focus on systematic outcome monitoring aimed at an improved outcomes measurement practice at the level of beneficiary communities and rationalize reporting.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Digital monitoring has already been developed and can be adapted for future emergencies. (ii) Lot Quality Assurance Sampling (LQAS) is becoming part of the WV outcome monitoring which monitor the outcome level on a yearly basis | |
| <p>2 WVJWG is encouraged to continue and expand its strategic investment in developing capacities of local partners and adopted partnership models.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) A review of WVJWG partnering approaches and policies with a view to new policies being in place from 1-Oct-16 (start of our next financial year). This work will build on recommendations from the WVI partnership with regard to partnering in humanitarian response (ii) In Gaza, WV is strengthening its partnering through current Disaster Risk Reduction and related projects which enhance partner involvement and include capacity building components (notably projects funded by the German government). | <p>By May 2016 (as part of the WVJWG National Strategy review)</p> |

DEC Form 10 Response Review [**Agency name**]

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| <p>3 With interested donors, WVJWG is encouraged to engage with current thinking for developing systematic ways of assessing and measuring cost-effectiveness and VfM including collective efforts</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) benchmarking internally and with others to ensure that costs are well considered based on the quality of the products and the impact on local market; (ii) Investing in enhancing awareness of staff on cost-effectiveness and VfM at large through focused capacity building activities. (iii) Develop a clear criteria/ mechanism to measure the cost effectiveness and VfM taking into consideration the approaches used by different donors | <p>By the beginning of any future similar project</p> |
| <p>4 WVJWG is encouraged to provide the psychosocial team with training prior to going to the field to ensure common understanding of the problems they may face and to agree on proper intervention.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Further capacity building of such staff (and partners) has been built into upcoming Government of Germany project. | |
| <p>5 In emergency distribution activities, more attention on developing and monitoring the implementation of a strategy for managing perceptions and communicating projects and activities mandate, commitments, potential intervention areas and the difficult choices WVJWG face to beneficiaries.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Enhance the M&E system to capture systemized regular monitoring to manage perceptions and potential intervention areas. (ii) Build up communication plan and strategy for emergency distribution activities. | <p>Once a new project is identified</p> |
| <p>6. WVJWG is encouraged to revise its selection criteria for food and NFI distribution in order to target farmers and workers in the distribution</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Recommendation is applicable in post crises only. In this case, selection criteria will be revised. | <p>Once a new project is identified</p> |

activity to increase their resilience, have longer-term successful livelihood options and less reliance on distribution activities in the future. Necessary measures should be taken into account when introducing this change in order to avoid double counting of beneficiaries.